

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/029,525	12/21/2001	Jerry T. Pugh	LIFE-037	5894	
24353	7590 04/15/2003				
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 200			EXAMINER		
			NOLAND, KENNETH W		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653	3653	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		[A 1! 44 -)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/029,525	PUGH, JERRY T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth w Noland	3653				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/029,525

Art Unit: 3653

1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahler in

view of Schreiber et al.

Kahler discloses a dispenser for test strips where the dispenser has a moisture-

proof housing to prevent contamination of the strips. The housing is considered the

have a "chamber" (76) which is sealed in a first position by the gasket (74) and which is

opened in a second position to permit the strips to be dispensed. This occurs by a

single motion of the sliding of the mechanism (50). To provide that Kahler would

provide for an analyzing means would be obvious not only as a mere choice to so

provide such analyzing or testing means for the test strips as is conventionally used with

each test strips, but Schreiber et al discloses the use of an analyzing means for use

with a test strip dispenser.

3. Claims 2-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Stewart is cited showing in figure 1 another type of dispenser using a

reciprocating slider 26.

Noland/kn April 10, 2003

KENNETH W. NOLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2